Case 2:08-cv-01657-RCJ-RJJ Document 1	.0 Filed 08/02/10 Page 1 of 2
UNITED STATES	DISTRICT COURT
6 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 7 DISTRICT OF NEVADA	
LORRIE MCCONNELL,)
Plaintiff,	
V.)) 2:08-cv-1657-RCJ-RJJ
CHRIS BUFAL, et al.,) MINUTE ORDER
Defendants.	
)
Currently before the Court is a Motion to Reopen Case (#9) filed by Plaintiff Lorrie	
McConnell ("Plaintiff") on November 4, 2009.	
On January 22, 2009, the Court entered an Order (#5) dismissing the Complaint filed	
by Plaintiff on the ground that Plaintiff's allegations were not only frivolous, but wholly within	
the realm of fantasy. As noted in the Court's prior order, a complaint is frivolous "where it	
lacks an arguable basis either in law or in fact [The] term 'frivolous,' when applied to a	
20 complaint, embraces not only the inarguable legal conclusion, but also the fanciful factional	
21 allegation." Neitzke v. Williams, 490 U.S. 319, 325 (1989). In the Complaint, Plaintiff alleges	
22 that Defendants are part of a wide-ranging conspiracy of murder, kidnaping and robbery, that	
began almost four decades ago. Plaintiff's current motion to reopen case does not correct any	
of the fanciful factional allegations asserted in the Complaint; rather, it includes additional	
frivolous allegations which are also wholly within the realm of fantasy.	
	LORRIE MCCONNELL, Plaintiff, V. CHRIS BUFAL, et al., Defendants. Currently before the Court is a Motion McConnell ("Plaintiff") on November 4, 2009. On January 22, 2009, the Court entered by Plaintiff on the ground that Plaintiff's allegative realm of fantasy. As noted in the Court's lacks an arguable basis either in law or in factomplaint, embraces not only the inarguable allegation." Neitzke v. Williams, 490 U.S. 319 that Defendants are part of a wide-ranging combegan almost four decades ago. Plaintiff's current of the fanciful factional allegations asserted in the country of the fanciful factional allegations asserted in the country of the fanciful factional allegations asserted in the country of the fanciful factional allegations asserted in the country of the fanciful factional allegations asserted in the country of the fanciful factional allegations asserted in the country of the fanciful factional allegations asserted in the country of the fanciful factional allegations asserted in the country of the fanciful factional allegations asserted in the country of the fanciful factional allegations asserted in the country of the fanciful factional allegations asserted in the country of the fanciful factional allegations asserted in the country of the fanciful factional allegations asserted in the country of the fanciful factional allegations asserted in the country of the fanciful factional allegations asserted in the country of the fanciful factional allegations asserted in the country of the fanciful factional allegations asserted in the country of the fanciful factional allegations asserted in the country of the fanciful factional allegations asserted in the country of the faction of the facti

CONCLUSION

For the foregoing reasons, IT IS ORDERED that Plaintiff's Motion to Reopen Case (#9) is DENIED. The allegations asserted in the Complaint and current motion are frivolous.

DATED: This 2nd day of August, 2010.

United States District Judge